

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**AUG 27 2004**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re patent application of:

Lily P. LOOI, et al.

Serial No.: 09/752,874

Group Art Unit: 2189

Filed: December 29, 2000

Examiner: N. Patel

FOR: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR INTERRUPT  
DELIVERY

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed April 27, 2004, for which the response period is extended one month by the attached petition for extension of time, applicants provide the following remarks.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-26 are pending in the application for reconsideration.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for setting forth a more detailed explanation of the Examiner's positions.

Claims 1-3, 9-12, 16-21, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,987,538 (Tavallaci). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection for the following reasons.

In order to anticipate, the reference must identically disclose each claim element. In fact, Tavallaci does not identically disclose many of the recited claim elements.